CONVERGENCES AND DIVERGENCES IN UNDERSTANDING
A MALAY SUFI TEXT OF THE 17TH CENTURY

Mohamad Nasrin bin Mohamad Nasir*

Abstract: This paper investigates the seventeenth-century Acehnese Sufi text known as *Haqq al-yaqīn fī 'aqīdat al-muhaqqiqīn* (The Certified Faith of the Belief of the Verifiers). Written by the Malay Sufi, Shams al-Dīn Sumatra’ī (d.1630), the paper shows that this text contains aspects of Persian mysticism - although it should not be merely read as a rehash of that brand of mysticism. Persian mystical texts became well-known in Southeast Asia beginning with the famous Ḥamzah al-Fanṣūrī (d.ca.1602). Shams al-Dīn Sumatra’ī was one of Ḥamzah’s most important students and, similar to Ḥamzah, was well-versed in Persian. In the *Haqq al-yaqīn*, Shams al-Dīn quotes from two main Persian writers, i.e. Mahmūd Shabistarī and ‘Abd al-Raḥmān al-Jāmī. In this paper, however, we will focus on his usage of Shabistarī’s *Gulshan-i Raz* and its commentary, the *Sharh Gulshan-i Raz* by Muhammad Lahiji Gilani. The main question driving this paper is whether Shams al-Dīn’s usage of these quotations converges with Shabistarī’s own understanding of them. In other words, did Shams al-Dīn merely follow Shabistarī, thereby constituting little more than an imitator of Persian mystical writings and commentaries? Answering this question is crucial for an understanding of how early Muslim scholars viewed text and interpretation as part of their individual identities as scholars. Such findings will also be useful for demonstrating the successful dialogue between the Persian Islamic world and the Malay Islamic world via ṭasawwuf or ‘īrṇān.
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Shams al-Dīn Sumatra’ī (d.1630) was a scholar at the court of the illustrious Acehnese ruler, Sultan Iskandar Muda (d.1636). What we know of his life before he became a court scholar, however, is little more than conjecture. In the preface to his *Haqq al-yaqīn*, however, he tells us:

And surely this lowly/poor servant who holds onto the blessings of the Lord of all worlds, Shaykh Shams al-Dīn ibn Abī ‘Abd Allāh al-Sumatra’ī, Pasai his origins¹ and Aceh his place of birth and dwelling and Imam Shāfi‘ī the imam of his school of law (*madhhab*).²
Regarding his stature at the court of the Sultan, we have at least four references to him in the Hikayat Aceh, Adat Aceh and Bustan al-Salāṭin. In the Bustan al-Salāṭin, for example, there is an entry on him that is somewhat akin to a brief obituary, written by Nūr al-Dīn al-Rānīrī (active in Aceh between 1637 and 1644). According to the text, Shams al-Dīn passed away at the same time as an attack on Malacca by Acehnese forces. As al-Rānīrī writes:

It was then that Shaykh Syamsuddin ibn Abdillah al-Sumatrani had passed away which is on a Monday night of the 12th of Rajab in the year 1039AH. The shaykh was a learned scholar in all branches of knowledge and is most famous for his knowledge in tasawwuf via the few books that he had written.

Amongst the many works penned by Shams al-Dīn, this paper will concentrate on the recently-found manuscript entitled, Ḥaqq al-yaqīn fī 'aqīdat al-muḥaqqiqīn (The Certified Faith in the Belief of the Verifiers). As far as the current author is aware, only two manuscript copies of this unpublished text exist, both of which can be found at the Pusat Manuskrip Melayu, Perpustakaan Negara Malaysia. They are numbered MS2581(F) and MS1556(N) respectively.

A brief description of each of the manuscript follows.

**MS2581(F)**

This manuscript copy of Ḥaqq al-yaqīn is bound together with four other manuscripts, none of which are writings by Shams al-Dīn. The history of the text is unknown. This is the only manuscript which is complete, including both a long muqaddimah and an ending. The handwriting is clear, making this manuscript easy to read compared to MS1556(N). Nevertheless, there are watermarks in a few places within the text, which hinder the reading of a word or (occasionally) a whole sentence. At the end of the text is a colophon that reads, “Surely he [the scribe] finished writing this treatise with the help of God the Owner, the Giver on a noble Monday night, 15th of the blessed Rabiul Akhir during the time of dhuha in the year 1125AH,” which corresponds to 10th May 1713 during mid-morning.

**MS1556(N)**

As with MS2581(F), there are several other manuscripts bound together under this catalogue number. In this case, however, all are labelled as writings by Shams al-Dīn. They were bought by the Pusat Manuskrip Melayu in 1993 from a Bapak Ali from Aceh. Ustaz Wan Shaghir, who was then in charge of collating and cataloguing the manuscripts in Pusat Manuskrip Melayu, was responsible for labelling them as writings by Shams al-Dīn; he felt that the vast majority of the manuscripts in this bundle carried some indication of having been written
by Shams al-Dīn. Some of the texts in this bundle were later published by Ustaz Wan Shaghir in his few works on Shams al-Dīn. This manuscript is written in a very small handwriting, which sometimes poses difficulties in reading. In addition, there are places where the text does not make sense, probably due to poor copying techniques. For this reason, a full reading and understanding of this text would require comparison with MS2581(F).

In Ḩaqq al-yaqīn, we find Shams al-Dīn quoting many verses from renowned Persian poets and scholars, including Maḥmūd Shabistarī, Shāh Ni‘matullāh of the Ni‘matullahiyah and Nūr al-dīn ‘Abd al-Raḥmān al-Jāmī. Of these figures, Maḥmūd Shabistarī (d.ca.740/1339-40) is undoubtedly the author Shams al-Dīn quotes most often in Persian (as opposed to Arabic): of the thirteen Persian quotations in Ḩaqq al-yakīn, twelve come from Maḥmūd Shabistarī. Predominantly, these quotations are from his Gulshan-i Rāz.

Shams al-Dīn’s ability in Persian might be compared to that of his mentor, Ḥamzah al-Fanṣūrī, who is known to have quoted extensively from the Persian poets and who may, according to the late A. Hasjmy, have had a Persian father. Shams al-Dīn himself says in the preface to the Ḩaqq al-yaqīn that:

Surely when I saw many amongst the noble people from amongst my righteous brothers who desires greatly towards following in the footsteps of the knowers, because they do not know the language of the Arabs and the Persians but instead they only know of the speech of the Sumatrans.

This statement seems to indicate his ability in Persian as well as Arabic. As to where he may have gained his proficiency in Persian, on the one hand he may have acquired it from Ḥamzah. It is equally possible, however, that it points to his probable travels to India. During this period, North Sumatra was well-connected to the Indian Ocean world, with traders and scholars easily travelling to India and beyond. Certainly, Teuku Iskandar believes that Shams al-Dīn may have made this journey, explaining why his Hikayat Aceh appears to have been modelled on the Shah Nameh of Ferdowsi. Furthermore, Shams al-Dīn sometimes quotes from both Shāh Ni‘matullāh, whose diwan was only accessible to his followers based in India, and Muḥammad ibn Faḍl Allāh al-Burhānpūrī, another famous Indian scholar. Moreover, Shams al-Dīn’s ancestral home, Pasai, was an old port where traders of Persian, Arab and Indian heritage had frequently visited in the past. It is also probable that Persian communities existed in Aceh at that point. Indeed, Snouck Hurgronje, the notable nineteenth and early twentieth-century Dutch scholar on Aceh, observed that some Acehnese were of Turkish, Arab and Persian blood. According to a report from fourteenth-century Ibn Battutah, these relations could have begun much-much earlier. We can safely say...
that, with all these factors swirling around the milieu of Shams al-Dīn, it is not surprising that he acquired the ability to read and probably converse in Persian.

**The Ḥaqq al-Yaqīn and Gulshan-I Rāz**

The ْحاَقَّ ِالْيَاقِينَ contains eight chapters and an introduction. It is a text written with utmost care and attempts to explain: belief in the metaphysics of the verifiers (الْمُحَاقِقُّينَ), especially in regards to our relation with God; the difference between our existence and God’s existence; how God manifests Himself in stages; the presence of the Divine (ِحَادِرَةِ ِالْيَلِيْلِيّاَ) in all the worlds; the perfections of God; and, ultimately, how to come close to Him via certain specific methods. A truly high-level discussion of Islamic mysticism, it can be thought of as a summary of his other work – although Shams al-Dīn may not have meant it as such, as he does not make the point in his preface, where he says that the aim of the work was help those who practice and walk in the path of the verifiers.

In contrast to the ْحاَقَّ ِالْيَاقِينَ, the ِغُلْسَان-يِرَازَ was written in response to questions posed by Amir Hosayn Hosayni (d.718/1318). Shabistarī had written the answers to these questions in the form of poetry. As Algar explains: “The questions dealt with the definition of meditation; meritorious and sinful types of thought, the meaning of the self and travel within the self; the nature of the true wayfarer on the Sufi path; the unity of being; how the knower and the known may both be identical with the divine essence; how a created being can be described as having attained union; what is the union of Necessary and contingent (مُمِكِن) beings; what is meant by closeness to God and distance from Him; how speech may be described as the shore of the ocean of being; the relationship between partial and universal being; the sense in which the uncreated and the created can be said to be separated from each other; and the various metaphors conventionally employed in Sufi poetry.”

As mentioned, in total there are twelve quotations from Shabistarī in the ْحاَقَّ ِالْيَاقِينَ, only two of which are not from his ِغُلْسَان-يِرَازَ. Out of the ten quotations from the ِغُلْسَان-يِرَازَ, four are understood in accordance with the commentary on the ِغُلْسَان-يِرَازَ by Shams al-Dīn Muḥammad Lāhījī (d.912/1506), entitled ِمَفَاتِيحَ ِالطَّيْلَ ِشَارِحُ ِغُلْسَان-يِرَازَ. The rest of the quotations are taken by Shams al-Dīn to support his own teachings, independent of what Lāhījī might have said. In what follows, I intend to show how Shams al-Dīn used the ِغُلْسَان-يِرَازَ and the commentary by Lāhījī to explicate his own teachings on ِتَاْذَوَِعٌ.
The use of the *Gulshan-i Rāz* and *Mafātīḥ al-l’jāz* in the *Ḥaqq al-Yaqīn*

We find the first quotation from the *Gulshan-i Rāz* in the introduction to the *Ḥaqq al-yakīn*:19

\[
\text{Az haqq ba har yeki khati va qismist} \\
\text{Mua’yyad va mabda’ har yek az ismist} \\
\text{Bad an ismand mawjudat qaim} \\
\text{Va az an ismand dar tasbih daim}
\]

His limit and portion are appointed to each by “the Truth”
Each arises from and returns to one Name
In that name each creature has its being
To that name it is ever giving praise.

This quotation is used to demonstrate the belief of the verifiers that external realities (*a’yān khārijīyyah*) are the result of the Divine names. As external reality emits from the names, it multiplies, only to eventually return to the names. This poem from the *Gulshan-i Rāz* clearly relates to this concept.

Also on the subject of the Divine attributes, Shams al-Dīn quotes another saying from the *Gulshan-i Rāz*:20

\[
\text{Dar ān majlis ‘azīz ān jumleh hādhir} \\
\text{Bad īn darwīsh har yek gusht nāzir21}
\]

All the nobles in that congregation
Turned their eyes upon this darvish22

Although in the *Gulshan-i Rāz* this poem is used to establish Shabistarī’s reason for writing the book, Shams al-Dīn uses it in a more creative manner, to explain that God is present in all places the *ṣūfī* or *darvish* is.

In the first chapter of the *Ḥaqq al-yakīn*, Shams al-Dīn explains the various technical terms associated with the word wujūd (Being or existence). As he does so, he again quotes Shabistarī:23

\[
\text{Wujūd har yeki chūn būd vāhid} \\
\text{Biwaḥdaniyyat ḥaqq gusht shāhid24}
\]

Since the Being of all of them is One
They all bear witness to the unity of “the Truth”25

In another, connected discussion also found in this chapter, Shams al-Dīn quotes Shabistarī again:
Ahad dar mīm ahmad gusht zāhi
Dar īn dūr āmad awwal ‘āyn akhir

The One was made manifest in the mim of Ahmad
In this circuit the first emanation became the last.

According to Lāhījī, this quotation from the Gulshan-i Rāz refers to how the various entifications of existence are contained in the letter mim of Aḥmad. This is also how Shams al-Dīn understands this quotation.

To demonstrate that man can know himself by seeing his attributes as reflections of God’s attributes, Shams al-Dīn, in chapter four of the Haqq al-yakīn, quotes:

To ‘ī to nuskhāi naqsh ilāhī
Bijo āz khuwish har chīzī keh khā’ī

Your self is a copy made in the image of God,
Seek in your self all that you desire to know.

Shams al-Dīn’s use of this quotation again mirrors the commentary by Lāhījī, which also argues that, in order for a person to know His Lord, all he needs to do is know his own self. This is because he is the Divine, in the sense that the Divine manifests Himself in the form of the Perfect Man.

In chapter five, Shams al-Dīn discusses the various Divine presences and their respective worlds, in the context of which another quotation is brought forward from the Gulshan-i Rāz:

Muḥaqqīq rā keh bar waḥdat shuhūd ast
Nakhstīn nazarat bar nūr wujud ast

He that knows “the Truth” and to whom Unity is revealed
Sees at the first glance the light of very Being.

This part of the Gulshan-i Rāz tries to answer the question, what is thought (fikr)? According to Lāhījī, however, this section deals with a description of the perfect verifier and how the true reality of things becomes apparent for him through unveiling. Thus, in this instance we find that Lāhījī’s understanding of the text does not necessarily tie in with Shams al-Dīn.

In chapter seven of the Haqq al-yakīn, Shams al-Dīn explains the perfection of God and again quotes a line from the Gulshan-i Rāz:

Ta’ayyun nukteh-i wahmīst dar ‘āyn
Cheh šāfī gusht ‘āynat ghayn shud ‘āyn

Phenomenal being is as the dot on ‘āyn
When ‘āyn is clear, ghayn becomes ‘āyn
Just as the difference between the letters ‘ayn and ghayn lies in a single dot, so the differences between the different loci of manifestation and the Divine names that manifest within it are very small.41 This stresses man’s closeness to God.

Chapter eight of the Haqq al-yakīn concerns coming close to God. Here the text of Gulshan-i Rāz is quoted again:

Do khutwat bīsh nabūd rāh sālik
Agar cheh dārad ū chandīn mahālik
Yek az ha-ī huwiyyat dar guzashtan
Duwwom sahrā-ī hasfī dar nawishtan42

The journey of the pilgrims (seekers) is two steps and no more
Although it is beset by divers perils
One step is passing out from that H of “He”
The second is passing over the field of existence.43

Interestingly, Shams al-Dīn’s use of this quotation is similar to that of Lāhījī’s commentary. Lāhījī talks about the two steps of the seeker – that is, annihilation and the state of subsisting. According to Lāhījī, these together constitute the stage of Haqq al-yaqīn.44 Shams al-Dīn, too, talks about annihilation and subsisting. He, however, regards it as perfect strangeness if a person chooses death after reaching life which was the result of perfect knowledge of God.

In the last chapter of his work, Shams al-Dīn quotes from the Gulshan-i Rāz twice. The first concerns the degrees of the people of annihilation:

Marātib bāqī wa ahl marātib
Bazīr amr haqq wa Allah ghālib45

Dignities are permanent, but men of dignity
Are subject to the sway of the “Truth”, Allah is over all.46

According to Lāhījī, here Shabistarī is talking about the non-existence of freedom for the seeker, as God is always above and over his affairs.47 Shams al-Dīn, however, is explaining the connectivity between the immutable fixed entities and the various creations or external entities. Although this divergence is not explained, it does indicate to us that Shams al-Dīn was not a passive follower of the commentary.

In another place in the same chapter we find another quotation:48

Yekī beyn wa yekī Gū-ī wa yekī dān
Badīn khatm āmad aṣl wa far’ īmān49

See but One, say One, know but One,
In this are summed up the roots and branches of faith50
For Lāhījī, these verses refer to those seekers of truth who are at the level of witnesses. Shams al-Dīn, on the other hand, uses this quotation to explain that recollection is needed constantly at this level. This is an obvious divergence from Lāhījī, who instead elucidates and describes the station of achieving closeness with God and how this is achieved through His self-disclosure.

As these quotations show, Shams al-Dīn was greatly influenced by Shabistarī, from whom he borrowed many quotations to justify his own teachings. Moreover, there appears to be some similarity between Shams al-Dīn’s own reading of the Gulshan-i Rāz and Lāhījī’s commentary upon the latter. This suggests Shams al-Dīn might have had a copy of the Mafāṭīḥ al-i’jāz fī sharḥ Gulshan-i Rāz for his reference. Indeed, it would not be too much to say that Shams al-Dīn was also influenced a great deal by Lāhījī’s work. However, as these quotations demonstrate, Shams al-Dīn was not a mere follower or passive teacher who borrowed without thinking. In fact, our discussion shows a deep thinking mind that utilised the teachings of past masters (particularly of the Ibn ‘Arabī school) via an incorporation of their explanations into his own narrative of instruction.

This paper has also demonstrated the ability of Malay scholars in Persian.

Recommendations

For the betterment of a clearer understanding of our past, the writer of these lines would like to recommend:

1. those of our local postgraduate students who intend to further their study in seventeenth-century Malay literature be given classes in Persian literature. As stated in this article, many seventeenth-century Malay scholars were influenced by Persian Sufi literature. Apart from Shabistarī, we could also highlight Fakhr al-Dīn al-Irāqī and Jalāl al-Dīn Rūmī. Proper exposure to Persian literature would equip our students with the knowledge necessary to study the writings of this era.

2. The teaching of Persian as an important Islamic language should also be given serious consideration, not just as an aid to understanding Malay heritage, but also in its own right.

3. A more holistic understanding of the development of Sufism and the transferal of Sufi-based literature should also be conveyed to future scholars. At the moment, the study of Sufism is limited to Arabic literature. Very few scholars master the other languages in which Sufi literature has been written and in which new forms of Sufism are expressed.

4. The philological and doxological aspects of manuscript study should also be highlighted as an important part of all courses on traditional Malay literature.
It is hoped that future Malay scholars will have the ability to speak, or at least read, literature in other Muslim languages, especially Persian. It is only by developing such abilities that the horizons of future Southeast Asian scholars will be broadened as much as they were in the past.

Notes:

* Mohamad Nasrin bin Mohamad Nasir is Research fellow at Institut Alam dan Tamaddun Melayu (ATMA) at Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia. He may be reached at mnasrin@ukm.edu.my.

1. From this statement we can infer that Shams al-Dīn’s parents were from Pasai and had then resettled in Aceh; if Shams al-Dīn were from Pasai, he would not say Aceh was his place of birth.


3. See my study above, pp. 11-15.


5. The Malay: “Syahdan pada masa itulah wafat Shaykh Syamsuddin ibn Abdillah al-Sumatrani pada malam Ithnin dua belas hari bulan Rejab pada Hijrah 1039 tahun. Adalah Shaykh itu alim pada segala ilmu dan ialah yang termasyhur pengetahuannya pada ilmu tasawwuf dan beberapa kitab yang dita’lifkannya.”

6. I would like to thank the staff at Pusat Manuskrip Melayu, Perpustakaan Negara Malaysia, for their help in accessing the manuscripts in question.


9. At the moment, I am preparing a paper analysing the various quotations taken from al-Jāmī by Malay Sufi texts. This article will be published by Brill in a volume dedicated to al-Jāmī and his influence in World literature. Forecasted date of publication is 2016.

16. A recent Turkish study on the figure of Ibrahim al-Kurani included the possibility that one of Kurani’s students, an Acehnese Shaykh called ‘Abd al-Ra’ūf Sinkil, had a Turkish father, see Saffet Bey, “Bir Osmani Filosunun Sumatra Seferi,” *Tarikh-i Osmani Encumeni Mecmuası*, (Istanbul: 1327), 7-12. I would like to thank Hamid Algar, of the University California, Berkeley, for this rather interesting point.
18. *Ibid*.
19. MS 2581 (F), pp. 77-8; pg. 17 of the Persian text and pg. 28 of the English translation as seen in E. H. Whinfield, *Gulshan-i Rāz The Mystic Rose Garden of Sa’du d Dīn Mahmūd Shabistarī*, Islamic Foundation, Samanabad, Lahore, reprinted 1978. All subsequent Persian references and English translations are from this version of the text.
20. MS 2581 (F), pp. 81-2.
22. Whinfield, 4.
24. Whinfield, 42.
25. Whinfield, 70.
26. Whinfield, 3. For this quotation, Shams al-Dīn does not produce the original Persian. I have therefore had to identify and reproduce the original passage from the *Gulshan-ı Rāz*.
27. Whinfield, 2.
29. MS 2581(F), 99; pg. 92, *al-Ma’rīfah*.
30. There is a mistake in the text regarding this quotation from Shabishtari’s *Gulshan-ı Rāz*. This could have resulted from an editor’s mistaken view that Shabishtari wrote in Arabic. For the mistakes see *ibid*.
32. Whinfield, 45.
34. MS 2581(F), 100; pg. 94, *al-Ma’rīfah*.
35. Whinfield, 6.
36. In other words, the Verifiers.
37. Whinfield, 8.
39. MS 2581(F), 115; pg. 115, *al-Ma’rīfah* and line 306, Whinfield, 19.
40. Whinfield, 31.
42. MS 2581(F) pg. 116; pg. 116-7, *al-Ma’rīfah* and line 307-8, Whinfield, 19.
43. Whinfield, 32.
45. MS 2581(F) pg. 128; pg. 133, *al-Ma’rīfah* and line 535, Whinfield, 32.
46. Whinfield, 54.
48. MS 2581(F) pg. 136; pg. 144, *al-Ma’rīfah*.
49. Line 883, Whinfield, 51.
50. Whinfield, 84-5.
52. Of note here is Bukhari Lubis, a scholar who has written in Malay regarding Persian literature. See his many publications, published by DBP and *Dewan Sastera*, a Malay-language literary magazine.
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